

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMESIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 2023;

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/674 771 | 12/29/2000 | Rudolf Heinz | R.34720 | 3714 |

7590 01/29/2002

Ronald E Greigg Greigg & Greigg 1423 Powhatan Street Unit One Alexandria, VA 22314

| | EXAMINER MEDLEY, PETER M | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | 2834 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|--|
| | 0.5 | 09/674,771 | HEINZ ET AL |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | T | Peter M Medley | 2834 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appears on the cover sheet wi | tn the correspondence address |
| THE I - Exter after - If NC - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI naions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common six (c) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common six (c) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common six (c) the mailing date of the common six (c) the mailing date of the mailing da | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication. Didays a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt | eply be timely filed |
| 1)[🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on 10 January 2001 . | |
| 2a)⊠ | | 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| 3)□ | | n for allowance except for formal mat ice under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 14-31 is/are pending in the | application. | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a | re withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5)🖂 | Claim(s) 14 is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 15-31 is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)[] | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | tion and/or election requirement. | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 9)[] | The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) objected to by the | he Examiner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any obj | ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya | ance, See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed | d on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ d | isapproved by the Examiner. |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are re- | quired in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12)[| The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority | documents have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority | documents have been received in A | pplication No |
| * 5 | Copies of the certified copies application from the Internities the attached detailed Office action. | ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| 14) 🗌 A | acknowledgment is made of a claim for | or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application). |
| |) The translation of the foreign lar Acknowledgment is made of a claim f | | |
| Attachmen | - | p, | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P | TO-948) 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Application/Control Number: 09/674,771
Art Linit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al (JP 08-306979).

With respect to claim 15, the reference discloses in figs. 3 and 10 a piezoelectric actuator comprising a cylindrical, laminated piezoelectric actuator body and first 4 and second 5 common electrode disposed on the out outer wall, angularly offset.

With respect to claim 16, the reference discloses in **fig. 3** the first **4** and second **5** contact points are diametrically opposed.

With respect to claims 17, 18, and 21-23, the reference discloses a recess for both the first and second electrode layers in fig. 10.

With respect to claims 19 and 24-26, the reference discloses narrow strips in fig.

With respect to claims 20, 27-31, the reference discloses connection strips in fig.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14 is allowed.

3.

11.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/674,771
Art Unit: 2834

 Applicant's arguments filed 5 November 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the Mochizuki et al (JP 08-306979) reference, the Applicants seems to be arguing limitations that are not in the in the last full paragraph on page 5. It's the Examiner's position that the recess is to avoid the second layer and the connection. In the next two paragraphs, the Applicant argues that his invention is more because Mochizuki et al (JP 08-306979) has gaps, but he admits in line 7 of page 6 that his invention has gaps. Finally the paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7, the Applicant argues "inside surface" of the cylinder which in not in the claims rejected by Mochizuki et al (JP 08-306979).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter M Medley whose telephone number is 703-305-0494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PM January 27, 2002